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**2nd MEETING IN GONDOMAR (PORTUGAL)**

**2nd Evaluation Report**

**Attendees:** On 32 attendees, 29 filled in the questionnaire which made the sample representative of 90,6%.

**Evaluation questionnaires**: Poland- 8, Italy- 5, France- 5, Austria- 3, Netherlands- 11

**Questionnaire:**

We asked to each participant to fill in a questionnaire in order to know what was good/bad. The aim of this procedure is to learn from our experiences and to become better hosts.

**Results:**

**A. Evaluation of the organization of the partner meeting**

1. Were you satisfied with the means of communication (mailing group) before the partners meeting?

**Ratings:** 1 = poor to 5 = excellent

Very Satisfactory: 17 (options 4-5) | Satisfactory: 7 (option 3)| Less Satisfactory: 3 (options 1-2) | No answer: 0

2. Did the seminar room meet your expectations?

**Ratings:** 1 = poor to 5 = excellent

Very Satisfactory: 16 (options 4-5) | Satisfactory: 11 (option 3) | Less Satisfactory: 0 (options 1-2) | No answer: 2

3. Were you satisfied with **the agenda of the meeting?**

**Ratings:** 1 = poor to 5 = excellent

Very Satisfactory: 23 (options 4-5) | Satisfactory: 6 (option 3) | Less Satisfactory: 0 (options 1-2) | No answer: 0

**B. Evaluation of the quality and relevance of the topics covered during the meeting**

4. Were you satisfied with all the information and materials you received during the meeting concerning the agenda and structure of the project?

**Ratings:** 1 = poor to 5 = excellent

Very Satisfactory: 21 (options 4-5) | Satisfactory: 5 (option 3) | Less Satisfactory: 3 (options 1-2) | No answer: 0

5. Were you satisfied with all the information you received concerning the next project steps and are you aware of what kind of contributions will be expected from you?

**Ratings:** 1 = poor to 5 = excellent

Very Satisfactory: 15 (options 4-5) | Satisfactory: 13 (option 3) | Less Satisfactory: 1 (options 1-2) | No answer: 0

6. Were you satisfied with the **working atmosphere during the meeting**?

**Ratings:** 1 = poor to 5 = excellent

Very Satisfactory: 18 (options 4-5) | Satisfactory: 5 (option 3) | Less Satisfactory: 6 (options 1-2) | No answer: 0

7. Do you think **the meeting was generally successful**? Do you think the project group was able to achieve **relevant results and to make some progress** in its work?

**Ratings:** 1 = poor to 5 = excellent

Very Successful: 27 (options 4-5) | Successful: 2 (option 3) | Less Successful: 0 (options 1-2) | No answer: 0

**C. The Coordination**

8. Were you satisfied with **the way the meeting was managed** (coordinated)?

**Ratings:** 1 = poor to 5 = excellent

Very Satisfactory: 20 (options 4-5) | Satisfactory: 9 (option 3) | Less Satisfactory: 0 (options 1-2) | No answer: 0

**D. The evaluation of the project partners**

9. Were you satisfied with the **level and quality of other partners’ contributions** to this meeting?

**Ratings:** 1 = poor to 5 = excellent

Very Satisfactory: 18 (options 4-5) | Satisfactory: 7 (option 3) | Less Satisfactory: 4 (options 1-2) | No answer: 0

10. Were you satisfied with **the preparation and involvement of the partner from**.... **Poland**?

**Ratings:** 1 = poor to 5 = excellent

Very Satisfactory: 17 (options 4-5) | Satisfactory: 9 (option 3) | Less Satisfactory: 2 (options 1-2) | No answer: 1

11. Were you satisfied with **the preparation and involvement of the partner from**.... **Netherlands**?

**Ratings:** 1 = poor to 5 = excellent

Very Satisfactory: 21 (options 4-5) | Satisfactory: 6 (option 3) | Less Satisfactory: 1 (options 1-2) | No answer: 1

12. Were you satisfied with **the preparation and involvement of the partner from**.... **Austria**?

**Ratings:** 1 = poor to 5 = excellent

Very Satisfactory: 22 (options 4-5) | Satisfactory: 6 (option 3) | Less Satisfactory: 0 (options 1-2) | No answer: 1

13. Were you satisfied with **the preparation and involvement of the partner from**.... **Italy**?

**Ratings:** 1 = poor to 5 = excellent

Very Satisfactory: 19 (options 4-5) | Satisfactory: 4 (option 3) | Less Satisfactory: 3 (options 1-2) | No answer: 2

14. Were you satisfied with **the preparation and involvement of the partner from.... France**?

**Ratings:** 1 = poor to 5 = excellent

Very Satisfactory: 20 (options 4-5) | Satisfactory: 9 (option 3) | Less Satisfactory: 0 (options 1-2) | No answer: 0

15. Were you satisfied with **the preparation and involvement of the partner from**....

**Ratings:** 1 = poor to 5 = excellent

Very Satisfactory: 0 (options 4-5) | Satisfactory: 0 (option 3) | Less Satisfactory: 0 (options 1-2) | No answer: 0

**E. The Evaluation of the Project Website**

16. Is **the project website available** and functions well?

**Ratings:** 1 = poor to 5 = excellent

Very well: 27 (options 4-5) | Well: 0 (option 3) | Quite Well: 0 (options 1-2) | No answer: 2

17. Are the **information on the project** website sufficient?

**Ratings:** 1 = poor to 5 = excellent

Very Satisfactory: 27 (options 4-5) | Satisfactory: 0 (option 3) | Less Satisfactory: 0 (options 1-2) | No answer: 2

18. Is the **structure of the website clear** and are the information you need easy to find?

**Ratings:** 1 = poor to 5 = excellent

Very clear: 27 (options 4-5) | Clear: 0 (option 3) | Less clear: 0 (options 1-2) | No answer: 2